



Procurement Management Unit

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT for

**Procurement of FortiGate Firewall Subscription Renewal
for the Namibia Qualifications Authority (Lump-Sum
Contract)**

**Procurement Reference Number:
NCS/RFQ/NQA-11/2026/27**

**Namibia Qualifications Authority
Private Bag 13247
Windhoek
Namibia**

**Phone: +264 61 384 100
Website: www.namqa.org**

Fax: +264 61 384 114

Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report (Section 55 (8))

Project: Procurement of FortiGate Firewall Subscription Renewal for the Namibia Qualifications Authority (Lump-Sum Contract)

Reference number: NCS/RFQ/NQA-11/2026/27

1. Procurement method used: Request for Sealed Quotations
2. Date of Invitation to Bids: 30 June 2026
3. Date of Submission of Bids: 17 July 2026
4. Date and place of opening of bids: 17 July 2026, NQA c/o S. Shihungileni and Dr. Kulz Street, Windhoek
5. Number of bids received by closing date: Fifteen (15)
6. Responsive bids: Three (3)

No.	Bidder's Name	Pricing at Bid Opening (N\$)	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bidder is successful/ Not Successful
1.	Green Enterprises Solutions (Pty) Ltd	43,379.59	Yes	Bidder is responsive.
2.	Ndahj Trading Enterprises CC	39,324.81	No	Bidder submitted an invalid Re-Seller certificate, contrary to requirement under 4(i) of the bidding document.
3.	Twin Technologies Solutions (Pty) Ltd	46,143.75		Bidder did not submit references, contrary to requirement under 4(h) of the bidding document.
4.	PHP Interactive Technology Solutions CC	48,724.56	Yes	Bidder is responsive.
5.	Shine Technologies Solutions (Pty) Ltd	48,285.60	No	Bidder submitted irrelevant references, contrary to requirement under 4(h) of the bidding document.
6.	Mach Ten Information Technology Solutions (Pty) Ltd	39,412.18	Yes	Bidder is responsive.
7.	Tamasi-Aghinaa Trading CC	57,319.20	No	Bidder submitted irrelevant references and no Re-Seller certificate, contrary to requirement under 4(h)(i) of the bidding document.
8.	CipherNest Technologies (Pty) Ltd	47,552.50	No	Bidder did not submit references, contrary to requirement under 4(h) of the bidding document.
9.	S&S Consulting Services CC	63,365.00	N	Bidder submitted irrelevant references and no Re-Seller certificate, contrary to requirement under 4(h)(i) of the bidding document.
10.	Ultimate Investment CC	584,156.32	No	Bidder submitted only one relevant reference, contrary to requirement under 4(h) of the bidding document.
11.	ETA Information Technologies and Trading CC	209,260.39	No	Bidder did not submit a Re-Seller certificate, contrary to requirement under 4(i) of the bidding document.

12.	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	42,811.21	No	Bidder submitted one unsigned reference, contrary to requirement under 4(h) of the bidding document.
13.	Goldstone Software Engineering Institute CC		No	Bidder submitted only one relevant reference and no Re-Seller certificate, contrary to requirement under 4(h)(i) of the bidding document.
14.	Acunam Information Communication Technology CC		No	Bidder submitted irrelevant references, contrary to requirement under 4(h) of the bidding document.
15.	Altus Information Communication Technology CC		No	Bidder submitted irrelevant references and did not declare conflict of interest, contrary to requirement under 4(h)) of the bidding document.

7. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:

No.	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid opening (N\$)	Bid price after correction (N\$)	Price after adjustments (N\$)	Price after Margin of Preference (If applicable) (N\$)	Rank
1.	Mach Ten Information Technology Solutions (Pty) Ltd	39,412.18	N/a	N/a	N/a	1
2.	Green Enterprise Solutions (Pty) Ltd	43,379.59	N/a	N/a	41,644.41	2
3.	PHP Interactive Technology Solutions CC	48,724.56	N/a	N/a	N/a	3

8. Best Evaluated Bid:

Mach Ten Information Technology Solutions (Pty) Ltd is the lowest evaluated substantially responsive bidders that meet the qualification criteria specified in the bidding documents.


 Ms. Harmoni W. Beukes
ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER



END