



Procurement Management Unit

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

for

**Supply, Installation and Commissioning of CCTV Cameras
at the Namibia Qualification Authority (Lump-Sum
Contract) - Re-advertisement**

**Procurement Reference Number:
G/RFQ/NQA-06/2026/27**

**Namibia Qualifications Authority
Private Bag 13247
Windhoek
Namibia**

**Phone: +264 61 384 100
Website: www.namqa.org**

Fax: +264 61 384 114

Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report (Section 55 (8))

Project: Supply, Installation and Commissioning of CCTV Cameras at the Namibia Qualification Authority (Lump-Sum Contract) - Re-advertisement

Reference number: G/RFQ/NQA-06/2026/27

1. Procurement method used: Request for Sealed Quotations
2. Date of Invitation to Bids: 30 June 2026
3. Date of Submission of Bids: 24 July 2026
4. Date and place of opening of bids: 24 July 2026, NQA c/o S. Shihungileni and Dr. Kulz Street, Windhoek
5. Number of bids received by closing date: Thirteen (13)
6. Responsive bids: Three (3)

No.	Bidder's Name	Pricing at Bid Opening (N\$)	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bidder is successful/ Not Successful
1.	H.L.S Investment (Pty) Ltd	34,369.43	No	Bidder did not submit references, contrary to requirement under 4(g) of the bidding document.
2.	Altus Information Communication Technology CC	74,254.06	No	Bidder submitted only one valid reference, contrary to requirement under 4(g) of the bidding document.
3.	Amana Technologies CC	47,538.73	No	Bidder submitted references not meeting all requirements, contrary to requirement under 4(g) of the bidding document.
4.	Advance Digital Solution CC	32,672.21	No	Bidder submitted references not meeting all requirements and did not submit the Warranty, contrary to requirement under 4(g)(h) of the bidding document.
5.	Ultimate Investment CC	27,611.00	No	Bidder did not submit the Manufacturer's Authorisation, contrary to requirement under 4(i) of the bidding document.
6.	ETA Information Technologies & Trading CC	37,379.35	No	Bidder did not submit the Manufacturer's Authorisation, contrary to requirement under 4(i) of the bidding document.
7.	Legacy Engineering and Technology CC	85,119.80	Yes	Bidder is responsive.
8.	Komesho Networking CC	56,623.40	No	Bidder did not submit the Warranty neither declared conflict of interest, contrary to requirement under 4(h)(k) of the bidding document.
9.	Green Enterprise Solutions (Pty) Ltd	58,765.23	No	Bidder submitted references not meeting all requirements, contrary to requirement under 4(g) of the bidding document.
10.	My Spy Security CC	62,432.70	Yes	Bidder is responsive.

11.	Ekondjitho Information Technologies CC	32,808.29	Yes	Bidder is responsive.
12.	Agra Limited	25,578.30	No	Bidder submitted an incomplete Founding Statement, contrary to requirement under clause 4(a) of the bidding document and Section A50(2)(e) of the Act.
13.	Binary City CC	65,137.56	No	Bidder submitted uncertified copies of Company Registration, Tax and Affirmative Action Compliance certificates, contrary to requirement under clause 4(a)(b)(d) of the bidding document and Section A50(2)(e) and 50(a)(c)(i) of the Act, respectively.

7. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:

No.	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid opening (N\$)	Bid price after correction (N\$)	Price after adjustments (N\$)	Price after Margin of Preference (If applicable) (N\$)	Rank
1.	Ekondjitho Information Technologies CC	32,808.29	N/a	N/a	28,840.24	1
2.	My Spy Security CC	62,432.70	N/a	N/a	61,808.35	2
3.	Legacy Engineering and Technology CC	85,119.80	N/a	N/a	83,278.60	3

8. Best Evaluated Bid:

Ekondjitho Information Technologies CC is the lowest evaluated substantially responsive bidders that met the qualification criteria specified in the bidding documents.


 Ms. Harmoni W. Beukes
 ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

END