



Procurement Management Unit

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

for

**Supply and Delivery of Office Stationery to the Namibia
Qualifications Authority for a period of 24 months (Time-
Based Contract)**

**Procurement Reference Number:
G/RFQ/NQA-08/2026/27**

**Namibia Qualifications Authority
Private Bag 13247
Windhoek
Namibia**

**Phone: +264 61 384 100
Website: www.namqa.org**

Fax: +264 61 384 114

Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report (Section 55 (8))

Project: Supply and Delivery of Office Stationery to the Namibia Qualifications Authority for a period of 24 months (Time-Based Contract)

Reference number: G/RFQ/NQA-08/2026/27

1. Procurement method used: Request for Sealed Quotations
2. Date of Invitation to Bids: 30 June 2026
3. Date of Submission of Bids: 31 July 2026
4. Date and place of opening of bids: 31 July 2026, NQA c/o S. Shihungileni and Dr. Kulz Street, Windhoek
5. Number of bids received by closing date: Thirty-three (33)
6. Responsive bids: Five (5)

No.	Bidder's Name	Pricing at Bid Opening (N\$)	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bidder is successful/ Not Successful
1.	Sozo Trading Investment CC	38,252.45	No	Bidder submitted uncertified copies of Company Registration, Tax and Affirmative Action Compliance certificates, contrary to requirement under 4(a)(b)(d) of the bidding document.
2.	Moena Stationeries CC	88,813.27	No	Bidder submitted references not meeting all requirements, did not attach Fitness certificate from City of Windhoek nor a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under 4(g)(i)(j) of the bidding document.
3.	Waltons Namibia (Pty) Ltd	42,277.00	No	Bidder did not submit a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under 4(j) of the bidding document.
4.	Dilu Investment Group CC	49,677.10	No	Bidder did not submit a Fitness certificate from City of Windhoek nor a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under 4(i)(j) of the bidding document.
5.	Gam Distribution and Supplies CC	69,402.72	No	Bidder's brief proposal did not include proof of vehicle, contrary to requirement under 4(j) of the bidding document.
6.	Crestveiw Investment CC	81,841.96	No	Bidder submitted only one reference and no proof of vehicle, contrary to requirement under 4(g)(j) of the bidding document.
7.	Best Trading CC	89,616.28	No	Bidder did not submit references, brief proposal not stipulating logistic capability nor timelines and did not declare conflict of interest, contrary to requirement under 4(a)(j)(k) of the bidding document.
8.	Sandu and Natu Trading CC	39,420.70	No	Bidder submitted only one reference meeting all requirements and brief proposal did not stipulate timelines, contrary to

				requirement under 4(g)(j) of the bidding document.
9.	Calender Investment CC	49,121.33	No	Bidder submitted only one relevant reference and did not attach a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under 4(g)(j) of the bidding document.
10.	Parmenas Investments CC	44,981.10	Yes	Bidder is responsive.
11.	Athenic Investments CC	126,472.83	No	Bidder did not submit references, principal business activity out of scope, did not attach a Fitness certificate from City of Windhoek nor a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under clause 4(g)(h)(i)(j) of the bidding document.
12.	S and S Consulting Services CC	92,738.30	No	Bidder did not submit references and brief proposal did not include proof of vehicle nor timelines, contrary to requirement under 4(g)(j) of the bidding document.
13.	Denu Stationers CC	48,353.36	No	Bidder submitted an invalid Good Standing Social Security Commission certificate, contrary to requirement under 4(c) of the bidding document.
14.	Desert Dunes Trading CC	69,768.00	No	Bidder did not submit references, principal business activity out of scope, did not attach a Fitness certificate from City of Windhoek nor a brief proposal and did not declare conflict of interest, contrary to requirement under clause 4(g)(h)(i)(j)(k) of the bidding document.
15.	Ponofi Investments CC	56,256.00	No	Bidder submitted only one relevant reference and did not attach a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under 4(g)(j) of the bidding document.
16..	Tempo NTN Trading CC	47,092.55	No	Bidder submitted only two full references and did not attach proof of vehicle, contrary to requirement under 4(g)(j) of the bidding document.
17.	Bluesky Technologies (Pty) Ltd	56,141.97	No	Bidder did not submit a Fitness certificate from City of Windhoek nor a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under clause 4(i)(j) of the bidding document
18.	Juxtapose Design CC	59,460.99	No	Bidder did not submit references, principal business activity out of scope, did not attach a Fitness certificate from City of Windhoek nor a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under clause 4(g)(h)(i)(j) of the bidding document.
19.	Profimaxi Investment Group (Pty) Ltd	387,171.45	Yes	Bidder is responsive.


20.	Natie's Investment CC	63,473.83	No	Bidder's brief proposal did not include proof of vehicle, contrary to requirement under 4(j) of the bidding document.
21.	JMG Investments CC	57,058.80	No	Bidder submitted outdated references and principal business activity out of scope, contrary to requirement under clause 4(g)(h) of the bidding document.
22.	Ma/hao Investments CC	107,115.47	No	Bidder submitted an invalid Good Standing Tax certificate, contrary to requirement under 4(b) of the bidding document.
23.	Ekondjitho Information Technologies CC	48,139.62	No	Bidder submitted only one relevant reference, contrary to requirement under 4(g) of the bidding document.
24.	Linetu Investment Solutions CC	78,503.00	No	Bidder did not submit references, principal business activity out of scope, did not attach a Fitness certificate from City of Windhoek nor a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under clause 4(g)(h)(i)(j) of the bidding document.
25.	Ekwakuti Trading CC	33,771.86	No	Bidder submitted only two relevant references and did not attach a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under 4(h)(j) of the bidding document.
26.	Leni Trading CC	75,391.01	No	Bidder submitted only two relevant references and did not attach a brief proposal, contrary to requirement under 4(h)(j) of the bidding document.
27.	Gee and Sons Trading CC	70,382.30	Yes	Bidder is responsive.
28.	Transchik Investment CC	35,762.84	No	Bidder did not submit a Good Standing Tax certificate, contrary to requirement under 4(b) of the bidding document.
29.	TMU Supply and Delivery Services (Pty) Ltd	58,976.76	No	Bidder did not submit a full Company Registration document, contrary to requirement under clause 4(a) of the bidding document and Section A50(2)(e) of the Act.
30.	Schlip Vision Trading CC	73,855.40	No	Bidder submitted only two relevant references and did not attach a Fitness certificate from City of Windhoek nor a brief proposal and did not declare conflict of interest, contrary to requirement under 4(h)(i)(j)(k) of the bidding document.
31.	Nanayo Investments CC	50,456.65	Yes	Bidder is responsive.
32.	Conley Investment CC	42,555.93	No	Bidder submitted only one unstamped reference, contrary to requirement under 4(g) of the bidding document.
33.	Owera Investments CC	70,621.56	Yes	Bidder is responsive.

7. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:

No.	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid opening (N\$)	Bid price after correction (N\$)	Price after adjustments (N\$)	Price after Margin of Preference (If applicable) (N\$)	Rank
1.	Parmenas Investments CC	44,981.10	N/a	N/a	43,181.86	1
2.	Naneyo Investments CC	50,456.65	N/a	N/a	N/a	2
3.	Gee and Sons Trading CC	70,382.30	N/a	N/a	68,270.83	3
4.	Owera Investments CC	70,621.56	N/a	N/a	69,915.34	4
5.	Profimaxi Investment Group (Pty) Ltd	387,171.45	N/a	N/a	N/a	5

8. Best Evaluated Bid:

Parmenas Investments CC and Naneyo Investments CC are the lowest evaluated substantially responsive bidders that met the qualification criteria specified in the bidding documents.


 Ms. Harmoni W. Beukes
ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
 Office of the CEO



END